



Innovations in interbody materials

Christoph Hofstetter, MD, PhD Associate Professor Director of Spine Surgery Spine Fellowship Director, UWMC University of Washington, Seattle



INNOVATE / INVOLVE / INVENT



Disclosures

- I believe in the utility of the full armamentarium of spine surgery
- Research support: DoD, Neilsen, Raisbeck foundation
- J&J teaching and consulting
- Globus teaching and consulting
- Joimax teaching and consulting
- Innovasis consulting











Polyether ether ketone (**PEEK**) is a colorless organic thermoplastic polymer in the polyaryletherketone family

Features

- Biocompatibility
- Young modulus comparable to bone
- Radiolucent
- Ease of manufacturing

Limitations

• Hydrophobic: lack of bone bonding ability



Long et al. 1998



Hydroxyapatite (HA)

- Hydroxyapatite is a naturally occuring mineral form of calcium apatite Ca₁₀(PO₄)₆(OH)₂₎
- Hydroxyl group of Hydroxyapatite can be replaced by fluride (fuoroapatite) or choride (chloroapatite)
- Main inorganic component of bone
- Bone: 70% HA, 25% organic, 5% water





Apatite is often mistaken for other minerals hence its name, (απατείν (apatein), which means to deceive or to be misleading)

HA PEEK

- Composite material of 80% PEEK, 20% Hydroxyapatite integration
- Structural and mechanical properties of PEEK combined with osteoconductive properties of HA
- HA evenly distributed throughout PEEK
- No coatings or laminate



HA PEEK

- HA mixed with polyethylene to create "artificial bone" void filler. With a Young's modulus similar to bone (Bonfield et al. 1981)
- 20 –40% fractional volume HA showed increased bone on growth in rabbit models vs. inert polyethylene
- Osteoconductive biomaterial used to enhance bone apposition

Hydroxyapatite reinforced polyethylene — a mechanically compatible implant material for bone replacement

W. Bonfield, M.D. Grynpas* and A.E. Tully Department of Materials, Queen Mary College, London, E1 4NS, UK

J. Bowman and J. Abram Department of Non-metallic Materials, Brunel University, Uxbridge, Middlesex, UN (Received 1 May 1981)



Figure 1 The effect of hydroxyapatite volume fraction (V₁) on the Young's modulus (E) of hydroxyapatite reinforced polyethylene. The results shown are for the combination of Podmore ashed bone (Ca/P ratio 1.66-1.72) and HDPE, HO2O-54P, B.P. Chemicals Limited



HA PEEK material properties

Property	Impact (Notched) (KJ/m²)	Flex Strength (MPa)	Flex Modulus (GPa)	Tensile Strength (MPa)	Tensile Elongation at break (%)
PEEK-OPTIMA Natural	4.7	170	4.0	115	20
PEEK- OPTIMA® HA Enhanced	4.4	178	5.5	103	8
Cortical Bone	2-5 (un-notched)	173	18	80-150 (longitudinal)	1.4

• 20% fractional volume HA

HA PEEK: Imaging properties

AXIAL VIEWPOINT OF DIFFERENT MATERIALS

	PRODUCT	FLUOROSCOPIC	MRI: Magnate: resonance imaging	CT: Computer Tomography Imograp
METAL			hannon an	hannan Saladana Sala
Titanium (Ti)	-	0	JUNE	0
316L Statnless steel (316L)		0	0	
Cobalt chromium steel (CoCr)	(relate	0		
Porous tantalum (Ta) hemt cylinder 1		0		
POLYMER				
Poly ether ether ketone (PEEK)		1	O	(0)
Ultro high moleculor weight polyethylene (UHMWPE)	0	500	O	1
CERAMIC				
Silicon nitride (SIN)		0	0	0
Alumina (Al)	0	10	Q.	0
Zirconia toughened alumina (ZTA)	south in	0	O	(0)
Zirconia (Zr)	0	0	Or	



Innovasis Ax[™] Stand Alone ALIF 6 month post operative

HA PEEK – material properties

In Vitro study of human osteoblast-like cells co-cultured either with PEEK or HA Peek

- No cell toxicity of nano-sized HA particles
- Osteoconductive surface allows bone ongrowth
- Promotes up-regulation of proteins related to calcium ion processes and cell adhesion in vitro
- Increase alkaline phosphate activity indicating increased osteoblast differentiation (Zhao et al. 2015)



OPEN Response of Human Osteoblast to n-HA/PEEK—Quantitative Proteomic Study of Bio-effects of Nano-Hydroxyapatite Composite Nano-Hydroxyapatite Composite





HA PEEK: Pre-clinical outcomes

PEEK-OPTIMA HA Enhanced has demonstrated performance advantages in a pre-clinical cervical spine fusion model in sheep at 6 and 12 weeks:

- More direct bone apposition
- Greater new bone formation at 6 weeks
- Higher quality new bone bridging at 6 and 12 weeks
- Bone ongrowth on the endplates and all faces of the interbody device (Wash et al. 2016)



Walsh et al. 2016

HA PEEK: Pre-clinical outcomes

- Micro-CT showed direct bone contact at implant interface with HA PEEK
- More mature fusion histology with HA PEEK compared to PEEK or allograft implant.





Walsh et al. 2016

HA PEEK: Possible future opportunities

• HA PEEK may be applied as composite coating



Porous and Bioactive PEEK Implants for Interbody Spinal Fusion

Porous and bioactive PEEK interbody spinal fusion devices have been designed and manufactured to address a clinical need for enhanced osteointegration with the fusion mass.

Ryan K. Roeder, Ph.D. University of Notre Dame

Stephen M. Smith, M.D. North Central Neurosurgery

Timothy L. Conrad, Nathaniel J. Yanchak, Christina H. Merrill, and Gabriel L. Converse, Ph.D. University of Notre Dame



Fig. 2 — Examples of commercially available cervical (left) and lumbar (right) PEEK interbody spinal fusion cages manufactured by Medtronic Sofamor Danek.

at least 5,000 interbody spinal fusion cages implanted each month in the U.S. alone [Ref. 2]. The total U.S. market for spinal fusion implants was valued at nearly \$4 billion in 2008 [Ref. 3]. Interbody spinal fusion is used to allowith update during a spinal fusion is used

Porous HA PEEK to enhance osteointegration

Table 1 — Elastic modulus and ultimate tensile strength

The elastic modulus (E) and ultimate tensile strength (UTS) of dense HA whisker reinforced PEEK composites was similar to that of human cortical bone tissue in the longitudinal anatomic direction, and the apparent compressive elastic modulus (E) and yield strength (YS) of porous HA whisker reinforced PEKK scaffolds was similar to that of human vertebral trabecular bone.

4-19	35 110	
	25-118	
16-23	80-150	
E (MPa)	YS (MPa)	
1-190	0.002-2.7	
20 500	0.5-4	
	20-500	

HA PEEK: Innovasis current Product Portfolio

PxHA PLIF IBF System



Ax Stand Alone ALIF



TxHA TLIF IBF System



CxHA Cervical IBF System



Innovative Interbody Technologies

Burden of Proof:

- 1) Improve Fusion Rates
- 2) Reduce Cage Subsidence
- 3) Reduce Cage Migration
- 4) Reduce Reoperations
- 5) Improve Clinical Outcomes





HA PEEK constitutes a favorable interbody material for spinal fusion procedures

- Facilitates bone ongrowth
- Images well across all modalities
- Has a modulus similar to bone
- Cost effective

Clinical cases



HA PEEK Clinical Case Series

48 year old female presented with a 3 year history of loss of upper extremity dexterity and progressive gait unsteadiness.

<u>Neuro exam</u>: left deltoid 3/5, hand intrinsic muscles 4/5, unsteady gait

<u>Procedure:</u> C5/6 and C6/7 ACDF with HA-PEEK cages with DBX and anterior plate

3 month follow up: left deltoid 4/5, hand intrinsic muscles 4+/5

Pre-operative



3 moth f/u



HA PEEK Clinical Case Series



51 year old female presented with loss of upper extremity dexterity and progressive gait unsteadiness.

<u>Neuro exam</u>: left hand intrinsic muscles 4/5, left positive Babinski, unsteady gait <u>Procedure:</u> C5/6 and C6/7 ACDF with HA-PEEK cages with DBX and anterior plate One year follow up

Pre-operative														
1.	Neck Pain	(None)	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	Ø	8	9	10	(Unbearable)
2.	Left Shoulder Pain	(None)	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	۹	10	(Unbearable)
3.	Right Shoulder Pain	(None)	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	Ø	8	9	10	(Unbearable)
4.	Left Arm Pain	(None)	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	(Unbearable)
5.	Right Arm Pain	(None)	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	(Unbearable)
6.	Back Pain	(None)	0	1	2	3	€	5 (6	7	8	9	10	(Unbearable)
7.	Left Hip/Buttock Pain	(None)	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	(Unbearable)
8.	Right Hip/Buttock Pain	(None)	0	1	2	3	4	5	G	7	8	9	10	(Unbearable)
9.	Left Leg Pain	(None)	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	(Unbearable)
10.	Right Leg Pain	(None)	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	(Unbearable)
1 year f/u														
		1 y	'e	а	r	f/	′υ	I						
1.	Neck Pain	1 y	'e	a 1	r Ø	f/ Ø	/U	5	6	7	8	9	10	(Unbearable)
1. 2.	Neck Pain Left Shoulder Pain	1 y (None) (None)	'e	a 1	r 2	f/	νυ 4 4	5 5	6 6	7 7	8 8	9	10 10	(Unbearable) (Unbearable)
1. 2. 3.	Neck Pain Left Shoulder Pain Right Shoulder Pain	1 y (None) (None) (None)	'e	a 1 1	r	f/	4 4 4	5 5 5	6 6	7 7 7	8 8 8	9	10 10 10	(Unbearable) (Unbearable) (Unbearable)
1. 2. 3. 4.	Neck Pain Left Shoulder Pain Right Shoulder Pain Left Arm Pain	(None) (None) (None) (None)	e	a 1 1 1	r 20 20 20 20	f/	4 4 4 4	5 5 5 5	6 6 6	7 7 7 7	8 8 8	999	10 10 10 10	(Unbearable) (Unbearable) (Unbearable) (Unbearable)
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Neck Pain Left Shoulder Pain Right Shoulder Pain Left Arm Pain Right Arm Pain	(None) (None) (None) (None) (None)	e	a 1 1 1 1	r 2 2 2 2 2 2	f/	4 4 4 4 4	5 5 5 5 5 5 5	6 6 6 6	7 7 7 7 7	8 8 8 8 8	9999	10 10 10 10	(Unbearable) (Unbearable) (Unbearable) (Unbearable) (Unbearable)
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Neck Pain Left Shoulder Pain Right Shoulder Pain Left Arm Pain Right Arm Pain Back Pain	(None) (None) (None) (None) (None) (None)	'e	a 1 1 1 1 1	r 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	f/	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	5555555	6 6 6 6 6	7 7 7 7 7 7	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	999999	10 10 10 10 10	(Unbearable) (Unbearable) (Unbearable) (Unbearable) (Unbearable) (Unbearable)
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Neck Pain Left Shoulder Pain Right Shoulder Pain Left Arm Pain Right Arm Pain Back Pain Left Hip/Buttock Pain	1 y (None) (None) (None) (None) (None) (None)	e	a 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	r 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	f/	4 4 4 4 4 4	55555555	6 6 6 6 6 6 6	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	999999	10 10 10 10 10 10	(Unbearable) (Unbearable) (Unbearable) (Unbearable) (Unbearable) (Unbearable)
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Neck Pain Left Shoulder Pain Right Shoulder Pain Left Arm Pain Right Arm Pain Back Pain Left Hip/Buttock Pain Right Hip/Buttock Pain	(None) (None) (Nane) (Nane) (Nane) (Nane) (Nane) (Nane)	° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° °	a 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	f/	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10 10 10 10 10 10 10	(Unbearable) (Unbearable) (Unbearable) (Unbearable) (Unbearable) (Unbearable) (Unbearable)
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Neck Pain Left Shoulder Pain Right Shoulder Pain Left Arm Pain Back Pain Left Hip/Buttock Pain Right Hip/Buttock Pain Left Hip/Buttock Pain Left Leg Pain	1 y (None) (None) (None) (None) (None) (None) (None) (None)	e	a 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	r 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	f/ @@@333@333	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	555555555555555555555555555555555555555	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	(Unbearable) (Unbearable) (Unbearable) (Unbearable) (Unbearable) (Unbearable) (Unbearable) (Unbearable)



HA PEEK Clinical Case Series

58 year old female presented with a 1 year history of left lower extremity radicular pain in an L5 distribution.

Neuro exam: neurologically intact

<u>Procedure:</u> L5/S1 ALIF with HA-PEEK cage

12 month follow up: patient is asymptomatic

Pre-operative



